Evaluation of CHA₂DS₂-VASc Score and R₂CHADS₂ Score in Patients with Acute Pulmonary Thromboembolism and Right Ventricular Dysfunction

Çağrı Zorlu, Sefa Erdi Ömür¹, Cemal Köseoğlu²

Department of Cardiology, Tokat Gaziosmanpaşa University, 'Department of Cardiology, Tokat State Hospital, Tokat, ²Department of Cardiology, Alanya Alaaddin Keykubat Training and Research Hospital, Alanya, Turkey

ORCID:

Çağrı Zorlu: http://orcid org/0000-0003-4085-8151 Sefa Erdi Ömür: http://orcid org/0000-0002-6209-1732 Cemal Köseoğlu: http://orcid org/0000-0001-8911-3340

Abstract

Background and Aim: Acute pulmonary embolism (APE) is one of the fatal emergencies. Imaging methods are not available to all physicians as an emergency and depend on the individual. For this reason, besides imaging methods, more easily applicable and individual-independent parameters are investigated to help the diagnosis. Some scoring systems are also used according to the patient's current disease status. R_2CHADS_2 score is used more in patients with chronic renal failure (CRF). The aim of the study was to compare CHA_2DS_2 -VASc and R_2CHADS_2 scores in patients with APE and right ventricular dysfunction (RVD). **Methods:** The study was conducted retrospectively. The patient group of the study consisted of 392 patients diagnosed with APE. Patients with nonmassive pulmonary embolism and submassive pulmonary embolism (SPE) without RVD were defined as Group 1 (n = 213) and massive pulmonary embolism and SPE with RVD were defined as Group 2 (n = 179). CHA_2DS_2 -VASc and R_2CHADS_2 scores were compared as a scoring system in patients. **Results:** CHA_2DS_2 -VASc scores and R_2CHADS_2 scores were evaluated in the two groups. The R_2CHADS_2 score was statistically significant in Group 2 (P < 0.001). There was a statistically significant difference between the groups in terms of CRF and estimated glomerular filtration rate (15 [7.2%] vs. 29 [16.4%], P < 0.001, and 57.6 vs. 46.4 mL/min/1.73 m², P < 0.001). **Conclusions:** Our findings show that the CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score and R_2CHADS_2 are independent predictors of RVD in patients with APE. However, the R_2CHADS_2 scoring system is observed better than the CHA_2DS_2 -VASc scoring system in patients with APE and RVD.

Keywords: Acute pulmonary embolism, CHA, DS,-VASc, chronic kidney disease, echocardiography, R, CHADS,

INTRODUCTION

Acute pulmonary embolism (APE) is an emerging form of pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE), a medical emergency that can result in death.^[1] Inhospital mortality rate varies according to the clinical course. Clinical course of APE can be examined in three groups as massive, submassive, and nonmassive. This classification of cases with APE is very important as it is decisive for the management of treatment to be applied to patients. APE – as a result of pulmonary arterial

Received: 09-09-2021 Revised: 07-12-2021 Accepted: 28-12-2021 Published Online: 22-03-2022

Access this article online							
Quick Response Code:	Website: http://www.ijcva.com						
	DOI: 10.4103/ijca.ijca_42_21						

occlusion – can lead to life-threatening acute but potentially reversible right ventricular dysfunction (RVD). Inhospital mortality of patients with massive pulmonary embolism (MPE) varies from 25% to 50%.^[2] RVD is the most important predictor of mortality in submassive APE and its detection is vital,^[3] and these patients should be given thrombolytic treatments. The fastest and simplest method to detect RVD is

> Address for correspondence: Dr. Sefa Erdi Ömür, Department of Cardiology, Tokat State Hospital, Tokat, Turkey. E-mail: sefaerdi61@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Zorlu Ç, Ömür SE, Köseoğlu C. Evaluation of CHA₂DS₂-VASc score and R₂CHADS₂ score in patients with acute pulmonary thromboembolism and right ventricular dysfunction. Int J Cardiovasc Acad 2022;8:1-7.

echocardiography (ECHO) in APE, however, it is cardiologist dependent, and also in some patients, it is not possible to evaluate right ventricular function due to imaging difficulties. Therefore, in cases where there is no cardiologist or the right ventricle is not evaluated clearly due to imaging difficulties, a different marker predicting RVD is required. We thought that CHA₂DS₂-VASc and R₂CHADS₂ scores, which are especially used in the risk stratification of atrial fibrillation (AF), may help the risk stratification in AF patients with APE.

The CHA₂DS₂-VASc (C: congestive heart failure [HF] or left ventricular systolic dysfunction, H: hypertension, A: age of \geq 75 years, D: diabetes mellitus, S: previous stroke, V: vascular disease, A: age between 65 and 74 years, and Sc: female gender) score is a scoring system that is used to determine the risk of thromboembolism in nonvalvular AF and also enables the management of anticoagulant therapy in diagnosed patients.^[4] Moreover, it has also been shown in the literature that it can be used in thrombotic cases that develop after percutaneous coronary interventions.^[5,6]

 R_2 CHADS₂ score was described as CHADS₂ score plus one point for renal dysfunction (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] <60 ml/min/1.73 m²). Impaired renal function was shown to be a predictor of stroke and systemic embolism in AF patients without valvular disease.^[7] In Rivaroxaban Once-Daily, Oral, Direct Factor Xa Inhibition Compared With Vitamin K Antagonism for Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in AF, the study demonstrated that R₂CHADS₂ score was superior to predict stroke risk than CHADS₂ score.^[7] All components of R₂CHADS₂ had predictive value for both prognosis and complications of ischemic heart disease and AF.

This study aimed to evaluate the comparison of CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score and R_2CHADS_2 score to predict RVD in patients with APE, and we aimed to assist clinicians in the decision of APE risk classification in cases where ECHO cannot be performed.

METHODS

Study population and design

We retrospectively collected the data of patients with acute pulmonary embolism who applied to the emergency department between January 2016 and June 2019, we retrospectively attended the study. A total of 392 patients were included in the study. The patients over 18 years of age, who presented to the emergency department with symptoms of shortness of breath, hemoptysis, and chest pain and who were diagnosed with APE after undergoing pulmonary computed tomography angiography with a prediagnosis of APE, were included in the study.

All patients had transthoracic ECHO within 48 h of hospitalization that it is routinely (using the General Electric Vivid 3 ultrasound system, Chicago, IL, USA). A review of patient files revealed that ECHO images had been obtained from all cases in semi-supine position, apical four chambers, parasternal short-long axis, and subcostal positions. ECHO was carried out by using a 3.4 MHz transducer probe with two dimensional, classical, and tissue Doppler. Systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP) was calculated by using Bernoulli equation devaluating tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient (TIPG = $4 \times$ tricuspid regurgitation velocity²) in addition to right atrial pressure. Pulmonary hypertension was defined as sPAP >36 mmHg.^[8] The tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) method was used to evaluate the RVD. A value of TAPSE ≤ 15 was accepted to confirm the presence of the RVD. Laboratory parameters were recruited from our hospital electronic database. The blood parameters of the patients consisted of the values when they first applied with APE. The demographic characteristics of the patients, comorbidities, patient histories, vital signs, treatment regimens at the hospital, monitoring findings daily, and the duration of hospital stays were obtained from the medical files of the patients.

Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, sleep breathing disorders, intracardiac lesions, sepsis or septic shock, serious pericardial and pleural effusions, acute renal failure, malignancies, collagen tissue disease, congenital heart disease, and valvular heart disease were excluded from the study.

The APE cases were assigned to three subgroups, according to their hemodynamic and radiological characteristics. The patients developing hypotension were assigned to the massive PTE subgroup (MPE), the patients with stable hemodynamics but with RVD detected by ECHO were assigned to the submassive PTE group (SPE), and the patients with stable hemodynamics with no RVD confirmed by ECHO were assigned to the nonmassive PTE group (nonmassive pulmonary embolism [NPE]).^[9] We organized these three subgroups into two groups according to RVD in accordance with the purpose of our study. Patients with NPE and SPE without RVD were defined as Group 1 and MPE and SPE with RVD were defined as Group 2.

The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was calculated by summing the points assigned to each of the risk factors, which include congestive HF (1 point), hypertension (1 point), age \geq 75 (2 points), diabetes mellitus (1 point), previous stroke, transient ischemic attack or thromboembolism (2 points), vascular disease (history of myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, or complex aortic plaques) (1 point), age between 65 and 74 years (1 point), and female gender (1 point).

The R_2 CHADS₂ score was calculated by summing the points assigned to each of the risk factors, which include renal dysfunction (2 points), congestive HF (1 point), hypertension (1 point), age \geq 75 (2 points), diabetes mellitus (1 point), previous stroke, transient ischemic attack, or thromboembolism (2 points).

The simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index (sPESI) scores of the patients were calculated using data obtained from FONET software program (version 3.1.1.6 b5) FONET, Ankara, Turkey. The sPESI score was calculated

by summing the points assigned to each risk factor, which include history of cancer (1 point), age >80 (1 point), history of HF or chronic lung disease (1 point), pulse rate >110 beats/min (1 point), systolic blood pressure <100 mmHg (1 point), and SaO₂ <90% (1 point). The patients with sPESI risk scores of 0 were accepted to have low sPESI scores. The patients with sPESI scores of 1 were accepted to have high sPESI scores.

Definition of congestive HF was based on a previous diagnosis of HF. HF was defined according to the criteria recommended by the working group on HF of the European Society of Cardiology.^[10] Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure >140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure >90 mmHg in at least two separate measurements or if a patient was already receiving antihypertensive medications. Diabetes mellitus was defined as a patient already receiving antidiabetic drugs and/or insulin or if fasting blood glucose level was \geq 126 mg/dL. Stroke and transient ischemic attack were assessed with patient history, and only the events owing to thromboembolism were included as a component of CHA₂DS₂-VASc score. Stenosis of \geq 50% in noncoronary arteries was defined as peripheral arterial disease.

Definition of chronic renal failure (CRF) was based on a creatinine clearance of <60 mL/minute, which was calculated by the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula and utilizing the baseline creatinine level.^[11]

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 18.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Quantitative data are presented as means ± standard deviations (SD) for parametric variables or medians with interquartile ranges (lower and upper quartiles) for nonparametric variables. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the compatibility of our data with normal distribution. Comparisons of parametric values among groups were performed by one-way analysis of variance. Comparisons of nonparametric values among groups were performed by the Kruskal–Wallis test. Tukey's HSD (for parametric variables) and Bonferroni adjustment Mann-Whitney U-test (for nonparametric variables) were used as post hoc test for multiple comparisons among the groups. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed for parameters affecting the presence of RVD. Normally distributed data are expressed as mean ± SDs and nonnormally distributed data are expressed as percentage. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves were estimated for CHA₂DS₂-VASc and R₂CHADS₂. ROC analysis was used to determine the cutoff values of CHA₂DS₂-VASc and R₂CHADS₂ in predicting RVD in patients with APE.

Ethical statement

Approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Tokat Gaziosmanpasa University – date: April 30, 2020, number: 20-KAEK-081 – where our institution was conducted.

RESULTS

The study population consisted of 392 patients (mean age, 60.2 ± 10.4 years and 55.3% of females). In this study, according to RVD, we divided the patients into two groups: the NPE and SPE without RVD group (n = 120, 56.33%) determined as Group 1 (n = 213) and the MPE and SPE with RVD (n = 3, 1.67%) determined as Group 2 (n = 179). The main characteristics of PTE subgroups are shown in Table 1. Systolic PAB was 42.23 ± 19.24 mmHg in Group 2 and 35.62 ± 18.21 mmHg in Group 1 (P < 0.001). Group 2 was older than those in Group 1 (68.2 ± 9.4 vs. 52.2 ± 11.4 , P < 0.001). There were a total of 217 female patients in the study, and there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of gender (P = 0.134). Cardiac diseases were detected at a higher rate in Group 2, and this finding was statistically significant (63 [29.6] vs. 91 [50.7%], P = 001).

Newly detected deep vein thrombosis (DVT) was statistically significantly higher in Group 2 (87 [40.7%] vs. 107 [60%], P = 0.001). Again, compared to other groups, inhospital mortality rate was detected to be higher in Group 2 (2 [1.1%] vs. 16 [8.9%], P < 0.001).

The CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores and R₂CHADS₂ scores were classified into two groups as follows: the scores between 0 and 1 were classified as the low-risk group and the scores of >1 were classified as the high-risk group [Table 1]. When CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was compared in high-risk groups, it was found 108 in Group 1 and 123 in Group 2 (P < 0.001). When the R₂CHADS₂ score was compared in high-risk groups, it was found 119 in Group 1 and 141 in Group 2 (P < 0.001). The associations of the variables with these groups are summarized in Table 2. The R₂CHADS₂ score was statistically significant in Group 2 (P < 0.001). There was a statistically significant difference between the groups in terms of CRF and eGFR (15 [7.2%] vs. 29 [16.4%], P < 0.001, and 57.6 versus 46.4 mL/min/1.73 m², P < 0.001). In addition, the sPESI scores are used to estimate the early mortality rate. sPESI score of all patients included in the study has been calculated. while it was 1.2 ± 0.61 in Group 1, it was found 3.8 ± 0.8 in Group 2 (P < 0.001). Our results indicated that a CHA_DS_2 -VASc score of ≥ 2 could be used as a predictor of RVD in patients presenting with APE with a sensitivity of 76% and a specificity of 68%, an area under the curve of 0.808, and a 95% confidence interval (CI) of 0.756–0.859. RCHA₂DS₂ score of ≥ 2 could be used as a predictor of RVD in patients presenting with APE a sensitivity of 82% and a specificity of 64%, an area under the curve of 0.882, and a 95% CI of 0.844–0.921 [Figure 1].

In multivariate logistic regression analysis, CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score (odds ratio [OR]: 1.486, 95% CI: 1.024–2.162, P = 0.030), R₂CHADS₂ score (OR: 2.225, 95% CI: 1.1892–

Table 1: The association of variables with acute pulmonary embolism subgroups									
Variable	Group 1 (<i>n</i> =213), <i>n</i> (%)	Group 2 (<i>n</i> =179), <i>n</i> (%)	Р						
Scores									
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score									
0-1	104 (49.1)	55 (30.9)	0.011						
≥2	108 (50.8)	123 (69)	0.009						
R,CHA,DS,-VASc score									
0-1	112 (52.5)	62 (34.7)	0.154						
≥2	119 (55.8)	141 (78.8)	< 0.001						
sPESI	1.2±0.61	$3.8{\pm}0.8$	< 0.001						
Demographic data and diseases									
Age (years)	52.2±11.2	68.2±9.4	< 0.001						
Female gender	121 (56.9)	96 (53.9)	0.134						
Smoking	63 (29.6)	70 (38.9)	0.216						
CRF	15 (7.2)	29 (16.4)	< 0.001						
DVT	87 (40.7)	107 (60)	0.001						
Prior pulmonary	12 (5.5)	7 (3.7)	0.564						
thromboembolism									
Cardiac disease	63 (29.6)	91 (50.7)	0.01						
Liver disease	6 (2.7)	18 (9.8)	0.028						
Neurological disease	27 (12.8)	29 (16.4)	0.525						
Prior DVT	12 (5.5)	8 (4.2)	0.534						
Diabetes mellitus	31 (14.5)	21 (11.7)	0.155						
Symptoms and hemodynamic status									
AF	42 (19.9)	34 (18.9)	0.536						
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	126±27	113±32	0.029						
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	75±15	73±20	0.405						
Dyspnea	167 (78.2)	168 (93.8)	< 0.001						
Chest pain	98 (45.8)	87 (48.8)	0.133						
Hemoptysis	21 (9.8)	19 (10.6)	0.542						
O_2 saturation (%)	$94{\pm}5$	92±5	0.089						
PaO, (mmHg)	76±25	74±24	0.109						
Echocardiographic findings									
LVEF (%)	55±10	50±11	< 0.001						
TAPSE (mm)	17±4	12±2	< 0.001						
RV systolic dysfunction	88 (41.3)	108 (60.5)	< 0.001						
RV dilatation	121 (56.9)	148 (82.6)	< 0.001						
Laboratory findings									
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	57.6±5	46.4±4	< 0.001						
Creatinine (g/dL)	$1.02{\pm}0.4$	$1.32{\pm}0.4$	< 0.001						
White blood cell ($\times 10^{9}/L$)	8.68±2.48	$8.88{\pm}4.49$	0.494						
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.93±16	12.96±1.7	< 0.001						
Inhospital mortality	2 (1.1)	16 (8.9)	< 0.001						

Definition: Group 1: Nonmassive PTE and submassive PTE without RVD. Group 2: Massive PTE and submassive PTE with RVD. CHA₂DS₂-VASc=Congestive heart failure, hypertension, age \geq 75 years (doubled), diabetes mellitus, prior stroke or transient ischemic attack (doubled),

and vascular disease, age 65-74 years, and sex category (female); sPESI=Simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index; CRF=Chronic renal failure; DVT=Deep venous thrombosis; AF=Atrial fibrillation; PaO₂=Partial pressure of oxygen; LVEF=Left ventricular ejection fraction; TAPSE=Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; RV=Right ventricle; eGFR=Estimated glomerular filtration rate; PTE=Pulmonary thromboembolism; RVD=Right ventricular dysfunction

3018, P = 0.02), sPAP (OR: 1.216, 95% CI: 1.154–1.262, P < 0.001), eGFR (OR: 2.281, 95% CI: 2.124–3.221, P < 0.001), and DVT (OR: 3.554, 95% CI: 1.249–9.624, P = 0.006) were independent predictors of RVD in patients with APE [Table 2]. TAPSE was found to be 17 mm in Group 1 patients, while it was 12 mm in Group 2 patients, and this was statistically significant [Table 1, P < 0.001]. This statistical significance was maintained when multivariate analysis was performed for TAPSE in patients with APE who developed RVD [Table 2].

Thrombolytic therapy was given to three patients in Group 2 who had SPE and developed RVD. In total, 143 patients in Group 2 received thrombolytic therapy [Table 1].

 R_2 CHADS₂ score and CHA₂DS₂-VASc score were compared with ROC statistical analysis to show the development of RVD

······	acare pair				
Univariate anal	ysis	Multivariate analysis			
OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р		
1.024 (0.692-1.374)	0.874				
0.960 (0.952-1.024)	0.316				
2.390 (1.628-3.498)	< 0.001	2.302 (0.786-6.721)	0.106		
1.386 (0.650-2.824)	0.321				
2.473 (1.702-3.610)	< 0.001	3.554 (1.249-9.626)	0.006		
1.348 (0.588-3.152)	0.443				
1.828 (1.204-5.571)	0.004	2.281 (2.124-3.221)	< 0.001		
1.224 (1.183-1.216)	< 0.001	1.206 (1.154-1.262)	< 0.001		
1.416 (1.274-1.55)	< 0.001	1.401 (1.253-1.569)	< 0.001		
1.401 (1.063-1.846)	0.017	1.359 (1.019-1.814)	0.037		
0.896 (0.895-0.932)	< 0.001	0.988 (0.941-1.030)	0.697		
0.897 (0.860-0.943)	< 0.001	0.944 (0.885-1.028)	0.724		
1.266 (1.139-1.432)	< 0.001	1.486 (1.024-2.162)	0.030		
2.102 (1.986-2.224)	< 0.001	2.225 (1.892-3.018)	0.002		
	Univariate anal OR (95% Cl) 1.024 (0.692-1.374) 0.960 (0.952-1.024) 2.390 (1.628-3.498) 1.386 (0.650-2.824) 2.473 (1.702-3.610) 1.348 (0.588-3.152) 1.828 (1.204-5.571) 1.224 (1.183-1.216) 1.416 (1.274-1.55) 1.401 (1.063-1.846) 0.896 (0.895-0.932) 0.897 (0.860-0.943) 1.266 (1.139-1.432) 2.102 (1.986-2.224)	Univariate analysisUnivariate analysis $\overline{\text{DR}(95\% \text{ Cl})}$ P $1.024 (0.692 \cdot 1.374)$ 0.874 $0.960 (0.952 \cdot 1.024)$ 0.316 $2.390 (1.628 \cdot 3.498)$ <0.001 $1.386 (0.650 \cdot 2.824)$ 0.321 $2.473 (1.702 \cdot 3.610)$ <0.001 $1.348 (0.588 \cdot 3.152)$ 0.443 $1.828 (1.204 \cdot 5.571)$ 0.004 $1.224 (1.183 \cdot 1.216)$ <0.001 $1.416 (1.274 \cdot 1.55)$ <0.001 $1.401 (1.063 \cdot 1.846)$ 0.017 $0.896 (0.895 \cdot 0.932)$ <0.001 $0.897 (0.860 \cdot 0.943)$ <0.001 $1.266 (1.139 \cdot 1.432)$ <0.001 $2.102 (1.986 \cdot 2.224)$ <0.001	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		

Table \mathcal{L}_1 i fediciolo di figlit Veliticalai avoluticiti il patietto with acate patietti a clipolo	Table	2:	Predictors	of	riaht	ventricular	d	/sfunction	in	patients	with	acute	pulmonary	v embolisn	n	
---	-------	----	------------	----	-------	-------------	---	------------	----	----------	------	-------	-----------	------------	---	--

 CHA_2DS_2 -VASC=Congestive heart failure, hypertension, age \geq 75 years (doubled), diabetes mellitus, prior stroke or transient ischemic attack (doubled), and vascular disease, age 65-74 years, and sex category (female); DVT=Deep venous thrombosis; CI=Confidence interval; PAP=Pulmonary artery pressure; PaO_2=Partial pressure of oxygen; PTE=Pulmonary thromboembolism; RCHA_2DS_2: Renal failure addition to CHA_2DS_2; TAPSE=Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; OR=Odds ratio; sPESI=Simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index; eGFR=Estimated glomerular filtration rate



Figure 1: Receiver-operating characteristic curve of CHA_2DS_2 -VASc and R₂CHADS₂

in patients with APE (AUC: 0.88, 95% CI: 0.844–0.921 AUC: 0.80, 95% CI: 0.756–0.859, respectively) [Figure 1].

DISCUSSION

This study compares the association between CH_2ADS_2 -VASc score and R_2CHADS_2 score about development of RVD in patients with APE. In the present study, we have determined that both scores are independent indicators of RVD.

Despite new diagnosis and treatment methods, APE still has significant morbidity and mortality rates. RVD is one of the most important causes of death in patients who die of PTE.^[12] Hypoxia develops after thrombus-induced bronchoconstriction in APE, and this increases right ventricular pressure after a sudden rise in pulmonary artery pressure (PAP), causing right ventricular enlargement. The importance of RVD in determining the prognosis in patients with APE has led researchers to investigate this issue. Ates et al. showed that PLR (by dividing the number of platelets by the number of lymphocytes) was found to be associated with the clinical severity of the patients with PTE.^[13] The role of platelet activity on embolic events is well known. Increased platelet activity in patients with APE is associated with increased severity of the clinical condition in pulmonary embolism.^[14] Since detecting RVD in these patients or predicting its development during follow-up will trigger thrombolytic therapy option, the importance of RVD is more important in patients presenting with clinical signs and symptoms of submassive PTE rather than those presenting with massive PTE. RVD development in APE settings has been associated with certain specific clinical and laboratory variables, such as diabetes, advanced age, and female gender.^[15,16] Risk scores containing these variables may be more accurate than those that are included alone. Both CHA₂DS₂-VASc and R₂CHADS₂ scores separately cover risk factors for RVD in patients with PTE.

Abnormal vascular function is recommended as a mediator of stroke.^[17] Microvascular dysfunction plays a role in the pathogenesis of RVD. Although thrombus load and embolism constitute an important part of APE etiology, microvascular dysfunction and obstruction are seen in APE patients. The CH₂ADS₂-VASc score is recommended by current guidelines as a proven predictor of thromboembolic events in patients with nonvalvular AF.^[4,18] The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was matched with the results of various diseases such as PTE, AF, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with or without

Nil.

AF, decreased left ventricular ejection fraction, and coronary artery disease.^[19-21] Recent studies have shown that the CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score is independently associated with RVD development in patients with APE.^[22] In our study, we also found that CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score was an independent risk factor for predicting RVD in patients with APE.

Kidney failure has been shown to increase the risk of thromboembolism two-fold.^[23] In International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registration, high creatinine concentration (>177 mol/L) has been found to be a determinant for mortality at 3 months.^[24] Similarly, in the large NIS database,^[25] inhospital mortality in chronic kidney disease patients or patients with end-stage renal failure was doubled compared to patients with normal kidney function. On the other hand, some records have emphasized that the prevalence of PE or venous thromboembolism is higher in patients with chronic kidney disease.^[25-27] In our study, we found an inverse relationship between eGFR and RVD, and we found that the R₂CHADS₂ score was significantly higher in the RVD group.

Once and for all, many risk factors in APE etiology overlap with risk factors for thromboembolism and endothelial and microvascular dysfunction. CHA2DS2-VASc score and R₂CHADS₂ also involve the risk factors related to atherosclerosis, vascular spasm, and microvascular dysfunction as the common risk factors. Both have a high predictive power of thromboembolic events and simultaneously contain common risk factors of APE and thromboembolism. They can be used as an exclusive risk estimation tool in APE. And also, according to our data, we found that both the R₂CHADS₂ score and CHA₂DS₂-VASc score are independent indicators of RVD and both scores can be used to predict RVD risk. Although the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score is an important helpful parameter in predicting APE, however, the R₂CHADS₂ score may also be considered as another auxiliary parameter in patients with renal dysfunction.

Study limitations

Our study has several limitations. It was an observational, retrospective, single-center study with a relatively small number of patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings suggest CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score and R_2CHADS_2 to be an independent predictor of RVD in patients with APE. Both scores can be very useful in this regard as an easily applicable instrument in prediction of high-risk patients. We recommend that clinicians may consider using more priority R_2CHADS_2 scores to predict RVD in patients with decreased renal function.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patients have given their consent for images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Anderson FA Jr., Wheeler WB, Goldberg RJ, Hosmer DW, Patwardhan NA, Jovanovic B, et al. A population-based perspective of the hospital incidence and case-fatality rates of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. The Worcester DVT study. Arch Intern Med 1991;151:933-8.
- Jaff MR, McMurtry MS, Archer SL, Cushman M, Goldenberg N, Goldhaber SZ, *et al.* Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2011;123:1788-830.
- Grifoni S, Olivotto I, Cecchini P, Pieralli F, Camaiti A, Santoro G, *et al.* Short-term clinical outcome of patients with acute pulmonary embolism, normal blood pressure, and echocardiographic right ventricular dysfunction. Circulation 2000;101:2817-22.
- Lip GY, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJ. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: The euro heart survey on atrial fibrillation. Chest 2010;137:263-72.
- Paoletti Perini A, Bartolini S, Pieragnoli P, Ricciardi G, Perrotta L, Valleggi A, *et al.* CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASc scores to predict morbidity and mortality in heart failure patients candidates to cardiac resynchronization therapy. Europace 2014;16:71-80.
- Puurunen MK, Kiviniemi T, Schlitt A, Rubboli A, Dietrich B, Karjalainen P, *et al.* CHADS2, CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED as predictors of outcome in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Thromb Res 2014;133:560-6.
- 7. Piccini JP, Stevens SR, Chang Y, Singer DE, Lokhnygina Y, Go AS, et al. Renal dysfunction as a predictor of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: Validation of the R (2) CHADS (2) index in the ROCKET AF (Rivaroxaban once-daily, oral, direct factor Xa inhibition compared with vitamin K antagonism for prevention of stroke and embolism trial in atrial fibrillation) and ATRIA (AnTicoagulation and risk factors in atrial fibrillation) study cohorts. Circulation 2013;127:224-32.
- Galiè N, Hoeper MM, Humbert M, Torbicki A, Vachiery JL; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG), *et al.* Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension: The task force for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS), endorsed by the International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). Eur Heart J 2009;30:2493-537.
- Cho JH, Kutti Sridharan G, Kim SH, Kaw R, Abburi T, Irfan A, et al. Right ventricular dysfunction as an echocardiographic prognostic factor in hemodynamically stable patients with acute pulmonary embolism: A meta-analysis. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2014;14:64.
- 10. Dickstein K, Cohen-Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJ, Ponikowski P, Poole-Wilson PA, et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008: The task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association of the ESC (HFA) and endorsed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). Eur J Heart Fail 2008;10:933-89.
- Hudzik B, Szkodziński J, Lekston A, Gierlotka M, Poloński L, Gąsior M. Mean platelet volume-to-lymphocyte ratio: A novel marker of poor short- and long-term prognosis in patients with diabetes mellitus and acute myocardial infarction. J Diabetes Complications 2016;30:1097-102.

- Tapson VF. Pulmonary embolism New diagnostic approaches. N Engl J Med 1997;336:1449-51.
- Ates H, Ates I, Kundi H, Yilmaz FM. Diagnostic validity of hematologic parameters in evaluation of massive pulmonary embolism. J Clin Lab Anal 2017;31:e22072.
- Rezania S, Puskarich MA, Petrusca DN, Neto-Neves EM, Rondina MT, Kline JA. Platelet hyperactivation, apoptosis, and hypercoagulability in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Thromb Res 2017;155:106-15.
- Rendina D, De Bonis S, Gallotta G, Piedimonte V, Mossetti G, De Filippo G, *et al.* Clinical, historical and diagnostic findings associated with right ventricular dysfunction in patients with central and non-massive pulmonary embolism. Intern Emerg Med 2010;5:53-9.
- Keller K, Beule J, Schulz A, Coldewey M, Dippold W, Balzer JO. Gender-specific differences in hemodynamically stable patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2014;139:2329-34.
- Kim J, Cha MJ, Lee DH, Lee HS, Nam CM, Nam HS, *et al.* The association between cerebral atherosclerosis and arterial stiffness in acute ischemic stroke. Atherosclerosis 2011;219:887-91.
- 18. CammAJ, Lip GY, De Caterina R, Savelieva I, Atar D, Hohnloser SH, et al. 2012 focused update of the ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: An update of the 2010 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. Developed with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association. Eur Heart J 2012;33:2719-47.
- Kurtul A, Acikgoz SK. Validation of the CHA2DS2-VASc score in predicting coronary atherosclerotic burden and in-hospital mortality in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Am J Cardiol 2017;120:8-14.

- Saliba W, Rennert G. CHA2DS2-VASc score is directly associated with the risk of pulmonary embolism in patients with atrial fibrillation. Am J Med 2014;127:45-52.
- Kurtul A. Usefulness of the CHA2DS2-VASc score in predicting in-stent restenosis among patients undergoing revascularization with bare-metal stents. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2018;24:589-95.
- 22. Gök M, Kurtul A, Harman M, Kara M, Süleymanoglu M, Ornek E. Relationship between CHA2DS2-VASc score and right ventricular dysfunction in patients with acute pulmonary thromboembolism. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2018;24:56S-62S.
- Wattanakit K, Cushman M. Chronic kidney disease and venous thromboembolism: Epidemiology and mechanisms. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2009;15:408-12.
- Goldhaber SZ, Visani L, De Rosa M. Acute pulmonary embolism: Clinical outcomes in the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry (ICOPER). Lancet 1999;353:1386-9.
- 25. Kumar G, Sakhuja A, Taneja A, Majumdar T, Patel J; Milwaukee Initiative in Critical Care Outcomes Research (MICCOR) Group of Investigators, *et al.* Pulmonary embolism in patients with CKD and ESRD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2012;7:1584-90.
- Folsom AR, Lutsey PL, Astor BC, Wattanakit K, Heckbert SR; Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study, *et al.* Chronic kidney disease and venous thromboembolism: A prospective study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010;25:3296-301.
- Al-Dorzi HM, Al-Heijan A, Tamim HM, Al-Ghamdi G, Arabi YM. Renal failure as a risk factor for venous thromboembolism in critically ill patients: A cohort study. Thromb Res 2013;132:671-5.